



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/761,190	01/22/2004	Kun-tac Kim	Q78337	2320
23373 7590 11/01/2007 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			EXAMINER LIN, JASON K	
			ART UNIT 2623	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 11/01/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/761,190	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KIM, KUN-TAE	
	<b>Examiner</b> Jason K. Lin	<b>Art Unit</b> 2623	

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 January 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 22 January 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)          | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

### DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is responsive to application No. 10/761,190 filed on 01/22/2004.

**Claims 1-15** are pending and have been examined.

#### *Response to Arguments*

2. Applicant's arguments, see P. 3-5, filed 03/20/2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-15 under Seo et al. in view of Horlander have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Margulis.

#### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. **Claims 1, 4, 5, 7, 10, 12, 13 and 15** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Margulis (US 2001/0021998).

Consider **claim 1**, Margulis teaches a set top box capable of performing wireless transmission (Paragraph 0040), the set top box comprising:

a digital television receiver (Paragraph 0036 teaches a satellite decoder 132 – Fig.1, which inherently receives digital broadcast signals), which converts a tuned digital broadcasting signal into a first transport stream (TS) (Paragraph

0039-0040 teaches receiving program signals via path 136, including the satellite signal after passing satellite decoder 132 – Fig.1);

a TS converting unit (subsystem processor 518 – Fig. 5 {TS converting unit}; Paragraph 0041, 0057), which receives at least one of a high definition (HD) image signal input from outside and an external SD image signal input from outside, converts the HD image signal into a standard definition (SD) image signal if the HD image signal is received, and then converts one of the SD image signal and the external SD image signal into a second TS (*The claim is worded in the alternative. The examiner has chosen to examine receiving a high definition (HD) image signal input from outside, converts the HD image signal into a standard definition (SD) image signal if the HD image signal is received, and then converts SD image signal into a second TS.* Paragraph 0062 teaches receiving HDTV video programming at the subsystem processor 518 – Fig.5 and generating a standard definition television stream. Paragraph 0041 and 0057 teaches subsystem processor 518 – Fig.5, 6 receives an input stream and processes and manipulates the received stream to generate a processed program information {second TS} in a format compatible for downstream use); and

a wireless processing module (Transmitter 524, Communications processor 636 – Fig.5, 6), which processes one of the first TS and the second TS as a processed output and wirelessly transmits the processed output (Paragraph 0069, 0070).

Consider **claim 10**, Margulis teaches a method for performing wireless transmission of television signals (Paragraph 0040, 0015-0016) comprising:

receiving a digital broadcasting signal (Paragraph 0036 teaches a satellite decoder 132 – Fig.1, which inherently receives digital broadcast signals) and converting the digital broadcasting signal into a first transport stream (TS) (Paragraph 0039-0040 teaches receiving program signals via path 136, including the satellite signal after passing satellite decoder 132 – Fig.1);

receiving at least one of an external high definition (HD) image signal and an external standard definition (SD) image signal, converting the external HD image signal into an internal SD image signal if the external HD image signal is received, and converting one of the internal SD image signal and the external SD image signal into a second TS; and *(The claim is worded in the alternative. The examiner has chosen to examine receiving an external high definition (HD) image signal input from outside, converting the external HD image signal into an internal standard definition SD image signal if the external HD image signal is received, and converting the internal SD image signal into a second TS.*

Paragraph 0062 teaches receiving HDTV video programming at the subsystem processor 518 – Fig.5 and generating a standard definition television stream.

Paragraph 0041 and 0057 teaches subsystem processor 518 – Fig.5, 6 receives an input stream and processes and manipulates the received stream to generate a processed program information {second TS} in a format compatible for downstream use); and

transmitting one of the first TS and the second TS over a wireless medium (Paragraph 0055, 0069, 0070).

Consider **claim 4**, Margulis teaches wherein the TS converting unit comprises:

a converter (subsystem processor 518 – Fig.5, 6), which converts the HD image signal input from outside into the SD image signal and outputs the SD image signal as an output of the converter (Paragraph 0062 teaches receiving HDTV video programming at the subsystem processor 518 – Fig.5 and generating a standard definition television stream. Paragraph 0041 and 0057 teaches subsystem processor 518 – Fig.5, 6 receives an input stream and processes and manipulates the received stream to generate a processed program information output); and

an encoding unit (subsystem processor 518 – Fig.5, 6), which converts the external SD image signal input from outside or the output of the converter into the second TS (Paragraph 0041 and 0057 teaches subsystem processor 518 – Fig.5, 6 receives an input stream and processes and manipulates the received stream to generate a processed program information output).

Consider **claim 5**, Margulis teaches wherein the converter comprises:

an analog-to-digital converter (ADC), which converts the HD image signal input from outside into a digital signal (Paragraph 0062 teaches subsystem

processor 518 – Fig.5, 6 may receive HDTV video programming. Paragraph 0058 and 0066 teaches a digitizer 516 – Fig. 5 {ADC} | ADC/Demod 517 – Fig. 6 {ADC} that converts analog video into digital video for use by subsystem processor); and

a down converter, which converts the HD image signal converted into the digital signal into the SD image signal (subsystem processor 518 – Fig.5, 6; Paragraph 0062 teaches receiving HDTV video programming at the subsystem processor 518 – Fig.5 and generating a standard definition television stream).

Consider **claim 7**, Margulis teaches wherein the wireless processing module wirelessly transmits the processed output in a radio frequency range (Paragraph 0051, 0055, 0069).

Consider **claim 12**, Margulis teaches wherein converting one of the internal SD image signal and the external SD-image signal into a second TS comprises encoding one of the external SD image signal and the internal SD image signal into the second TS (*The claim is worded in the alternative. The examiner has chosen to examine wherein converting the internal SD image into a second TS comprises encoding the internal SD image signal into the second TS.* Paragraph 0062 teaches receiving HDTV video programming at the subsystem processor 518 – Fig.5 and generating a standard definition television stream. Paragraph 0041 and 0057 teaches subsystem processor 518 – Fig.5, 6 receives

an input stream and processes and manipulates the received stream to generate a processed program information output).

Consider **claim 13**, Margulis teaches wherein the converting the external HD image signal into an internal SD image signal comprises:

converting the external HD image signal into a digital signal (Paragraph 0062 teaches subsystem processor 518 – Fig.5, 6 may receive HDTV video programming. Paragraph 0058 and 0066 teaches a digitizer 516 – Fig. 5 {ADC} | ADC/Demod 517 – Fig. 6 {ADC} that converts analog video into digital video for use by subsystem processor); and

down converting the digital signal into the internal SD image signal (subsystem processor 518 – Fig.5, 6; Paragraph 0062 teaches receiving HDTV video programming at the subsystem processor 518 – Fig.5 and generating a standard definition television stream).

Consider **claim 15**, Margulis teaches wherein the transmitting one of the first TS and the second TS over the wireless medium is done at a radio frequency (Paragraph 0051, 0055, 0069).



***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. **Claims 2, 3, 6, 8, 9, 11, and 14** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Margulis (US 2001/0021998) in view of Levandowski (US 6,704,060).

Consider **claim 2**, Margulis does not explicitly teach a switching unit which receives the first TS and the second TS and outputs one of the first TS and the second TS as an output of the switching unit.

In an analogous art Levandowski teaches, a switching unit (216 – Fig.2) which receives a first TS and a second TS and outputs one of the first TS and the second TS as an output of the switching unit (Col 3: lines 24-37 teaches a unit 216 – Fig.2, receiving transport streams generated by demodulators 210 and 212, and outputting one of the transport streams).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Margulis's system to include a switching unit which receives a first TS and a second TS and outputs one of the first TS and the second TS as an output of the switching unit, as taught by Levandowski, for the advantage of quickly and efficiently providing to the user the corresponding stream that is requested, allowing the user to easily receive and view the desired video.

Consider **claim 3**, Levandowski further teaches a decoding unit which decodes the output of the switching unit and outputs a decoded TS stream to an image device connected to the set top box by a wire (Col 3: lines 33-47).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Margulis and Levandowski to include a decoding unit which decodes the output of the switching unit and outputs a decoded TS stream to an image device connected to the set top box by a wire, as further taught by Levandowski, for the advantage of supplying a display device readily displayable content, alleviating the need for complex decoding circuitry at the display device, allowing for cheaper manufacturing of corresponding display devices.

Consider **claim 6**, Margulis and Levandowski teaches wherein the wireless processing module wirelessly transmits the processed output in a radio frequency range (Margulis - Paragraph 0051, 0055, 0069).

Consider **claim 8**, Levandowski further teaches wherein the digital television receiver is an advanced television system committee (ATSC) receiver.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Margulis and Levandowski to include wherein the digital television receiver is an advanced television system committee (ATSC) receiver, as further taught by Levandowski, for the advantage of allowing users to receive a wide range of digital programming in a way that complies with industry

standard digital television providing the user with optimum compatibility and reception.

Consider **claim 9**, Margulis does not explicitly teach wherein the digital television receiver is an advanced television system committee (ATSC) receiver.

In an analogous art Levandowski teaches, wherein the digital television receiver is an advanced television system committee (ATSC) receiver.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Margulis's system to include wherein the digital television receiver is an advanced television system committee (ATSC) receiver, as further taught by Levandowski, for the advantage of allowing users to receive a wide range of digital programming in a way that complies with industry standard digital television providing the user with optimum compatibility and reception.

Consider **claim 11**, Margulis does not explicitly teach decoding one of the first TS and the second TS and transmitting a decoded signal to an image device through a wire.

In an analogous art Levandowski teaches, decoding one of the first TS and the second TS and transmitting a decoded signal to an image device through a wire (Col 3: lines 33-47).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Margulis's system to include decoding one of the first TS and the

second TS and transmitting a decoded signal to an image device through a wire, as taught by Levandowski, for the advantage of supplying a display device readily displayable content through a more noise resilient medium, alleviating the need for complex decoding circuitry at the display device, and allowing for cheaper manufacturing of corresponding display devices.

Consider **claim 14**, Margulis teaches wherein the transmitting one of the first TS and the second TS over the wireless medium is done at a radio frequency (Margulis - Paragraph 0051, 0055, 0069).

**Conclusion**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason K. Lin whose telephone number is (571)270-1446. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 9:00AM-6:00PM, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Grant can be reached on (571)272-7294. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jason Lin

10/24/2007

  
CHRISTOPHER GRANT  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600